



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,846		07/15/2003	Alec Matusis	MDI-101/CIP	8800
30869	7590	09/01/2005		EXAMINER	
		CTUAL PROPER	LUI, DONNA V		
		2ND FLOOR		, DELLO VIE	D. DED \ 40 (DED
PALO ALTO, CA 94306				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2675	

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/620,846	MATUSIS, ALEC					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Donna V. Lui	2675					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>July</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>July 15, 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. <u>Claim 18</u> is objected to because of the following informalities: Grammatical error on line 12, should read as: feature from the fixed and discrete location. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-6, 10, and 13-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Catherine Topping (Patent No.: US 6,654,484 B2, herein after referred to as "Topping").

Art Unit: 2675

With respect to <u>Claim 1</u>, Topping discloses a device for selecting functions (*Figure 4*), comprising:

a memory wherein stored a one to one relationship between two or more fingers of a user and multiple functions, each of the two or more fingers of the user have a different intrinsic finger feature associated therewith, the intrinsic features are features that are natural to the fingers and are not brought about by any modifications to the fingers (*column 3, lines 1-6*); and

a fixed and discrete location is simultaneously associated with the multiple functions, and wherein the selection of desired functions is achieved by the user alternating the different fingers at the fixed and discrete location (*column 4*, *lines 58-64*), and

a sensor capable of obtaining a finger feature from the fixed and discrete location (column 3, lines 7-12 and column 4, lines 36-41).

With respect to <u>Claim 2</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 1.

Topping discloses a device further comprising a means to identify the user (column 3, lines 59-67 through column 4, lines 1-4).

With respect to <u>Claim 3</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 1.

Topping discloses a memory comprised of a pre-authorized set of users who are allowed to select one or more of the multiple functions (*column 1*, *lines 44-52*).

With respect to <u>Claim 4</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2675

Topping discloses a memory having stored for multiple users different one to one relationships (column 1,lines 22-28 and lines 44-52).

With respect to <u>Claim 5</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 1.

Topping discloses a device as set forth in **claim 1**, wherein the device is a mobile phone (*column 2*, *lines 32-37*), a computer (*column 1*, *lines 44-45*), and a device where the user cannot see the controls (*column 2*, *lines 13-19*).

With respect to <u>Claim 6</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 1.

Topping discloses a device as set forth in **claim 1**, wherein the finger feature comprises fingerprint data (*column 1*, *lines 22-28*).

With respect to <u>Claim 10</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 1. Topping teaches a one to one relationship between the intrinsic finger features and the multiple functions comprising coordinate data from the fixed and discrete location of the sensor and wherein the device further comprises a coordinate data analysis means (column 5, lines 1-6). It is inherently known that coordinate data analysis must be performed in order to determine which function is selected when a single sensor is divided into a plurality of regions, where each region corresponds to a particular function.

With respect to <u>Claim 13</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 1.

Topping discloses a sensor comprised of virtual areas (*column 5, lines 1-6*). Each virtual area is a region of a sensor divided into a plurality of regions.

Art Unit: 2675

With respect to <u>Claim 14</u>, Topping discloses a method for selecting functions, comprising:

providing a one to one relationship between two or more fingers of a user and multiple functions, each of the two or more fingers of the user have a different intrinsic finger feature associated therewith, the intrinsic features are features that are natural to the fingers and are not brought about by any modifications to the fingers (*column 3, lines 1-6*);

providing a fixed and discrete location is simultaneously associated with the multiple functions (*column 4, lines 58-64*);

alternating between desired functions is achieved by alternating the different fingers at the fixed and discrete location (column 4, lines 58-64); and

providing a sensor capable of obtaining a finger feature from the fixed and discrete location (column 3, lines 7-12).

With respect to <u>Claim 15</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 14.

Topping discloses a method further comprising identifying the user of the selected finger feature (column 1, lines 44-52).

With respect to <u>Claim 16</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 14.

Topping discloses a method further comprising determining whether the user has authorization to actuate the desired function (*column 1,lines 44-52*).

With respect to <u>Claim 17</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 14.

Art Unit: 2675

Topping discloses a method further comprising actuating the desired function if the user has been positively identified (*column 1,lines 22-28 and lines 44-52*).

With respect to <u>Claim 18</u>, Topping discloses a method for selecting functions by a user wherein the user is operating a device wherein the device is selected from the group consisting of a mobile phone (*column 2*, *lines 32-37*), a computer (*column 1*, *lines 44-45*), and a device where the user cannot see the controls while looking through the device (*column 2*, *lines 13-19*), comprising;

providing a one to one relationship between two or more fingers of the user and multiple functions, each of the two or more fingers of the user have different intrinsic finger feature associated therewith, the intrinsic features are features that are natural to the fingers and are not brought about by an modifications to the fingers (*column 3, lines 1-6*);

providing a fixed and discrete location is simultaneously associated with the multiple functions (column 4, lines 58-64);

alternating between desired functions is achieved by alternating the different fingers at the fixed and discrete location (column 4, lines 58-64); and

providing a sensor capable of obtaining a finger feature from the fixed and discrete location (column 3, lines 7-12).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2675

3. <u>Claims 7-9 and 11-12</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Topping in view of Yamada et al (Patent No.: US 6,560,612 B1, herein after referred to as "Yamada").

With respect to <u>Claim 7</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitation of claim 1. Topping teaches the one to one relationship between the intrinsic finger features and the multiple functions, but fails to relate those features and functions to motion from the fixed and discrete location of the sensor and wherein the device further comprises a motion data analysis means.

Yamada teaches a touch pad (11) that is operated with a finger (column 4, lines 23-26) or pen such that a controller detects coordinate data and executes processing in the CPU corresponding to the inputted coordinate data (column 6, lines 59-67). Although Yamada uses one discrete area for motion data analysis, many fixed and discrete locations could have easily been implemented with a plurality of sensors. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Topping and the use of a touch pad comprising motion data analysis, as taught by Yamada, modifying it in such a way as to relate finger features and multiple functions of a fixed and discrete location and motion data analysis for the purpose of obtaining a device capable of selecting more functions, further providing security and user control. In addition, assigning predetermined functions to various fixed and discrete locations allows for more functionality of the device, rapid inputting and with reliability from among many functions without increasing the size of the apparatus (column 2 lines 23-31).

Art Unit: 2675

With respect to <u>Claim 8</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 7.

Topping teaches an identification system with a hierarchy of security control and controlling functions through fingerprint analysis and fingerprint selection (*column 1*, *lines 22-28*), where the teachings can easily be implemented into a computer (*column 1*, *lines 44-45*). Topping fails to teach motion data analysis corresponding to functions of a computer program.

Yamada teaches a touch pad that can be operated with a finger such that functions are controlled through motion data analysis of movements on the touch pad. The motion data is related to functions in a computer program (column 4, lines 23-39). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Topping and the use of motion data analysis relating to the functions in a computer program, as taught by Yamada, for the purpose of providing a controlling method which can implement various functions simply and with reliability without increasing the size of the apparatus (column 1, lines 63-67), further providing security and user control through fingerprint analysis.

With respect to <u>Claim 9</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 7. Topping fails to teach motion analysis means comprising character recognition. Yamada teaches the use of motion data analysis for function selection and cursor control through the operation of a finger or a pen (column 4, lines 23-39). Yamada teaches that through successive function selection a user is able to enter into a pen inputting mode subject to character recognition, where the user can input a hand-written character (column 9, lines 34-40). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 2675

to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Topping and motion analysis means for character recognition, as taught by Yamada, for the purpose of obtaining an input and function selection device in one that provides security and user control through fingerprint analysis.

With respect to <u>Claim 11</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 1.

Topping fails to teach the device comprising a track pad. Yamada teaches a device comprised of a track pad (*elements 11-13*) performing functions such as a pointing device and selecting a predetermined function through operation by a finger (*column 2*, *lines 23-31*). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Yamada and function selection on a track pad device, as taught by Yamada, for the purpose of obtaining a device with greater functionality.

With respect to <u>Claim 12</u>, note the above discussion pertaining to the limitations of claim 1.

Topping fails to teach the sensor comprised of a touch screen. Yamada teaches a sensor comprised of a touch screen (*element 11*) providing the opportunity to perform functions such as a pointing device and selecting a predetermined function through operation by a finger (*column 2, lines 23-31*). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Yamada and function selection on a touch screen device, as taught by Yamada, for the purpose of obtaining a device with greater functionality.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,846 Page 10

Art Unit: 2675

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Donna V. Lui whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 a.m. - 5:00

p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donna V Lui Examiner

Art Unit 2675

KENT CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kenice